



<b>FINDING DETAILS</b>	<b>Detail 1 (Ref.: Lines 8 - 9 )</b>	<b>Detail 2 (Ref.: Lines 9 - 10 )</b>	<b>Detail 3 (Ref.: Lines 11 - 12 )</b>
<p>I find interesting details that are <u>related</u> and that stand out to me from reading the text closely.</p>	<p>"our children, crying out for brotherhood, were answered with fire hoses, snarling dogs and even death"</p>	<p>"young people seeking to secure the right to vote were brutalized and murdered"</p>	<p>"more than 40 houses of worship in the State of Mississippi alone were bombed or burned because they offered a sanctuary to those who would not accept segregation"</p>

<b>CONNECTING THE DETAILS</b>	<b>What I think about detail 1:</b>	<b>What I think about detail 2:</b>	<b>What I think about detail 3:</b>
<p>I re-read and think about the details, and <u>explain</u> the connections I find among them.</p>	<p>NONVIOLENCE: "our children, crying out for brotherhood" ----- VIOLENCE: "were answered with fire hoses, snarling dogs and even death"</p>	<p>NONVIOLENCE: "young people seeking to secure the right to vote" ----- VIOLENCE: "were brutalized and murdered"</p>	<p>NONVIOLENCE: "offered a sanctuary to those who would not accept segregation" ----- VIOLENCE: "were bombed or burned"</p>
<p><b>How I connect the details:</b> The repetitive sentence structure and strong language express a clear <b>OPPOSITION</b>.</p>			

<b>MAKING A CLAIM</b>	<b>My claim about the text:</b>
<p>I state a conclusion that I have come to and can support with <u>evidence</u> from the text after reading and thinking about it closely.</p>	<p>According to King, there are two opposing sides: a violent one against equal rights and a nonviolent one struggling for justice.</p>