
11.4.2 End-of-Unit Text Analysis Rubric (Total points)





Criteria	4 - Responses at this Level:	3 - Responses at this Level:	2 - Responses at this Level:	1 - Responses at this Level:
Content and Analysis The extent to which the response analyzes the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story. CCSS.ELA-Literacy.RL.11-12.3 Analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story or drama (e.g., where a story is set, how the action is ordered, how the characters are introduced and developed).	Skillfully analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story.	Accurately analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story.	With partial accuracy, analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story.	Inaccurately analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate elements of a story.



http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-sa/3.0/

Criteria	4 - Responses at this Level:	3 - Responses at this Level:	2 - Responses at this Level:	1 - Responses at this Level:
Command of Evidence and Reasoning The extent to which the speaker demonstrates preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas. CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1 Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one- on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 11-12 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively. CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL. 11-12.1.a Come to discussions prepared, having read and researched material under study; explicitly draw on that preparation by referring to evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas.	Demonstrate thorough preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on precise and sufficient evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a) Skillfully propel conversations by consistently posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; actively ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; consistently clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and actively promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c)	Demonstrate preparation for the discussion by explicitly drawing on relevant and sufficient evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue to stimulate a thoughtful, well- reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL. 11-12.1.a) Propel conversations by posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c)	Demonstrate partial preparation for the discussion by inconsistently drawing on relevant or sufficient evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue, occasionally stimulating a thoughtful, well- reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL. 11-12.1.a) Somewhat effectively propel conversations by inconsistently posing and responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; occasionally ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; inconsistently clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and occasionally promote divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c)	Demonstrate a lack of preparation for the discussion by rarely drawing on relevant or sufficient evidence from texts or other research on the topic or issue, rarely stimulating a thoughtful or well-reasoned exchange of ideas. (SL.11-12.1.a) Ineffectively propel conversations by rarely posing or responding to questions that probe reasoning and evidence; rarely ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue; rarely clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions; and prevent divergent and creative perspectives. (SL.11-12.1.c)



Criteria	4 - Responses at this Level:	3 - Responses at this Level:	2 - Responses at this Level:	1 - Responses at this Level:
Collaboration and Presentation The extent to which the speaker addresses diverse perspectives; synthesizes comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolves contradictions when possible; and determines what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL.11-12.1 Initiate and participate effectively in a range of collaborative discussions (one- on-one, in groups, and teacher-led) with diverse partners on grades 11-12 topics, texts, and issues, building on others' ideas and expressing their own clearly and persuasively.	Skillfully address diverse perspectives; skillfully synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; frequently resolve contradictions when possible; and precisely determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d)	Effectively address diverse perspectives; accurately synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and accurately determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d)	Somewhat effectively address diverse perspectives; with partial accuracy, synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; occasionally resolve contradictions when possible; and determine with partial accuracy what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d)	Ineffectively address diverse perspectives; inaccurately synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue; rarely resolve contradictions when possible; and inaccurately determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task. (SL.11-12.1.d)
CCSS.ELA-Literacy.SL. 11-12.1.d				
Respond thoughtfully to diverse perspectives; synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides				
of an issue; resolve contradictions when possible; and determine what additional information or research is				



- A response that is a personal response and makes little or no reference to the task or text can be scored no higher than a 1.
- A response that is totally copied from the text with no original writing must be given a 0.
- A response that is totally unrelated to the task, illegible, incoherent, blank, or unrecognizable as English must be scored as a 0.



11.4.2 End-of-Unit Text Analysis Checklist

Assessed Standards: _____

	Does my response	~
Content and Analysis	Identify two or more central ideas from the text and analyze their development? (RL.11-12.2)	
	Provide examples to support analysis of how the central ideas interact and build on one another? (RL. 11-12.2)	
	If necessary, include a brief summary of the text to frame the development of the central ideas? (RL. 11-12.2)	
	Analyze the impact of the author's choices regarding how to develop and relate the elements of a story or drama? (RL.11-12.3)	
Command of Evidence and Reasoning	Explicitly draw on evidence from texts and other research on the topic or issue? (SL.11-12.1.a)	
	Pose and respond to questions that probe reasoning and evidence? (SL.11-12.1.c)	
	Ensure a hearing for a full range of positions on a topic or issue? (SL.11-12.c)	
	Clarify, verify, or challenge ideas and conclusions? (SL. 11-12.1.c)	
	Promote divergent and creative perspectives? (SL. 11-12.1.c)	

File: 11.4.2 Lesson 21 Date: 10/31/14 Classroom Use: Starting 11/2014

 $\ensuremath{\mathbb O}$ 2014 Public Consulting Group. This work is licensed under a

Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-ShareAlike 3.0 Unported License



Collaboration and Presentation	Respond to diverse perspectives? (SL.11-12.1.d)	
	Synthesize comments, claims, and evidence made on all sides of an issue? (SL.11-12.1.d)	
	Resolve contradictions when possible? (SL.11-12.1.d)	
	Determine what additional information or research is required to deepen the investigation or complete the task? (SL.11-12.1.d)	

