Lesson 4: Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method

Student Outcomes

- Students understand that the ratio and parallel methods produce the same scale drawing and understand the proof of this fact.
- Students relate the equivalence of the ratio and parallel methods to the *triangle side splitter theorem*: A line segment splits two sides of a triangle proportionally if and only if it is parallel to the third side.

Lesson Notes

This lesson challenges students to understand the reasoning that connects the ratio and parallel methods that have been used in the last two lessons for producing a scale drawing. The Opening Exercises are important to the discussions that follow and are two important ideas in their own right. The first key idea is that two triangles with the same base that have vertices on a line parallel to the base are equal in area. The second key idea is that two triangles with different bases, but equal altitudes will have a ratio of areas that is equal to the ratio of their bases. Following the Opening Exercises students and the teacher show that the ratio method and parallel method are equivalent. The concluding discussion shows how that work relates to the triangle side splitter theorem.

Classwork

Today, our goal is to show that the parallel method and the ratio method are equivalent; that is, given a figure in the plane and a scale factor r > 0, the scale drawing produced by the parallel method is congruent to the scale drawing produced by the ratio method. We start with two easy exercises about the areas of two triangles whose bases lie on the same line, which will help show that the two methods are equivalent.

Opening Exercises 1–2 (10 minutes)

Students will need the formula for the area of a triangle. The first exercise is a famous proposition of Euclid's (Proposition 37 of Book 1). You might ask your students to go online after class and read how Euclid proves the proposition.

Give students two minutes to work on the first exercise in groups, and walk around the room answering questions and helping students to draw pictures. After two minutes, go through the proof on the board, answering questions about the parallelogram as you go. Repeat the process for Exercise 2.

You are not looking for pristine proofs from your students on these exercises; you are merely looking for confirmation that they understand the statements. For example, in Exercise 1, they should understand that two triangles between two parallel lines with the same base must have the same area. These two exercises help avoid the quagmire of drawing altitudes and calculating areas in the proofs that follow; these exercises will help your students to simply recognize when two triangles have the same area or recognize when the ratio of the bases of two triangles is the same as the ratio of their areas.









It will be useful to leave the statements of Opening Exercises 1 and 2 on the board throughout the lesson so that you can refer back to them.



Draw the first picture below as you read through Opening Exercise 2 with your class. Ask questions that check for understanding, like, "Are the points *A*, *B*, and *B*' collinear? Why?" and "Does it matter if *B* and *B*' are on the same side of *A* on the line?"





Lesson 4: Date:







Ask students to summarize to a neighbor the two results from the Opening Exercises. Use this as an opportunity to check for understanding.

Discussion (20 minutes)

The next two theorems generate the so-called "triangle side splitter theorem," which is the most important result of this module. (In standard **G-SRT.B.4**, it is stated as, "A line parallel to one side of a triangle divides the other two proportionally, and conversely.") We will use the triangle side splitter theorem over and many times over again in the next few lessons to understand dilations and similarity. Note that using the AA similarity criterion to prove the triangle side splitter theorem is *circular*: The triangle side splitter theorem is the reason why a dilation takes a line to a parallel line and an angle to another angle of equal measure, which are both needed to prove the AA similarity criterion. Thus, we need to prove the triangle side splitter theorem in a way that does not invoke these two ideas. (Note that in Grade 8, we assumed the triangle side splitter theorem and its immediate consequences and, in doing so, glossed over many of the issues we will need to deal with in this course.)

Even though the following two proofs are probably the simplest known proofs of these theorems (and should be easy to understand), they rely on subtle tricks that you should not expect your students to discover on their own. Brilliant mathematicians constructed these careful arguments over 2,300 years ago. However, that does not mean that this part of the lesson is a "lecture." Take your time in going through the proofs with your students, ask them questions to check for understanding, and have them articulate the reasons for each step. If done well, you and your class can take joy in the clever arguments presented here!

Discussion

To show that the parallel and ratio methods are equivalent, we need only look at one of the simplest versions of a scale drawing: scaling segments. First, we need to show that the scale drawing of a segment generated by the parallel method is the same segment that the ratio method would have generated and vice versa. That is,

The parallel method \Longrightarrow The ratio method,

and

The ratio method \Longrightarrow The parallel method.

Ask students why scaling a segment is sufficient for showing equivalence of both methods for scaling polygonal figures. That is, if we wanted to show that both methods would produce the same polygonal figure, why is it enough to only show that both methods would produce the same segment?

Students should respond that polygonal figures are composed of segments. If we can show that both methods produce the same segment, then it makes sense that both methods would work for all segments that comprise the polygonal figure.







The first implication above can be stated as the following theorem:

PARALLEL \Rightarrow RATIO THEOREM: Given a line segment \overline{AB} and point O not on the line \overline{AB} , construct a scale drawing of \overline{AB} with scale factor r > 0 using the parallel method: Let $A' = D_{0,r}(A)$, and ℓ be the line parallel to \overline{AB} that passes through A'. Let B' be the point where ray \overline{OB} intersects ℓ . Then B' is the same point found by the ratio method; that is, $B' = D_{0,r}(B)$.

В

Α

Discuss the statement of the theorem with your students. Ask open-ended questions that lead students through the following points:

- Segment $\overline{A'B'}$ is the scale drawing of \overline{AB} using the parallel method. Why?
- $A' = D_{0,r}(A)$ is already the first step in the ratio method. The difference between the parallel method and ratio method is that B' is found using the parallel method by intersecting the parallel line ℓ with ray \overrightarrow{OB} , while in the ratio method, the point is found by dilating point B at center O by scale factor r to get $D_{0,r}(B)$. We need to show that these are the same point, that is, that $B' = D_{0,r}(B)$. Since both points lie on the ray \overrightarrow{OB} , this can be done by showing that $OB' = r \cdot OB$.

There is one subtlety with the theorem as it is stated above that you may or may not wish to discuss with your students. In it, we assumed—asserted really—that ℓ and ray \overrightarrow{OB} intersect. They do, but is it clear that they do? (Pictures can be deceiving!) First, suppose that ℓ did not intersect the entire line \overrightarrow{OB} , and then by definition, ℓ and \overrightarrow{OB} are parallel. Since ℓ is also parallel to \overrightarrow{AB} , then \overrightarrow{OB} and \overrightarrow{AB} are parallel (by parallel transitivity from Module 1), which is clearly a contradiction since both contain the point *B*. Hence, it must be that ℓ intersects \overrightarrow{OB} . But where does it intersect? Does it intersect ray \overrightarrow{OB} , or the opposite ray from *O*? There are two cases to consider. Case 1: If *A'* and *O* are in opposite half-planes of \overrightarrow{AB} (i.e., as in the picture above when r > 0), then ℓ is contained completely in the half-plane that contains *A'* by the plane separation axiom. Thus, ℓ cannot intersect the ray \overrightarrow{BO} , which means it must intersect ray \overrightarrow{OB} . Case 2: Now suppose that *A'* and *O* are in the same half-planes of ℓ . (Why? Hint: What fact would be contradicted if they were in the same half-plane?) Thus, by the plane separation axiom, the line intersects the segment \overrightarrow{OB} , and thus ℓ intersects ray \overrightarrow{OB} .

There is a set of theorems that revolve around when two lines intersect each other as in the paragraph above, which fall under the general heading of "crossbar theorems." We encourage you to explore these theorems with your students by looking the theorems up on the web. The theorem above is written in a way that asserts that ℓ and \overrightarrow{OB} intersect, and so "covers up" these intersection issues in a factually correct way that will help us avoid unnecessarily pedantic crossbar discussions in the future.









GEOMETRY





Lesson 4: Date: Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method 10/28/14



Since $\operatorname{Area}(\triangle OA'B) = \operatorname{Area}(\triangle OB'A)$, we can equate the fractions: $\frac{OA'}{OA} = \frac{OB'}{OB}$. Since *r* is the scale factor used in dilating \overline{OA} to $\overline{OA'}$, we know that $\frac{OA'}{OA} = r$; therefore, $\frac{OB'}{OB} = r$, or $OB' = r \cdot OB$. This last equality implies that B' is the dilation of B from 0 by scale factor r, which is what we wanted to prove.

Next, we prove the reverse implication to show that both methods are equivalent to each other.

Ask students why showing that "the ratio method implies the parallel method" establishes equivalence. Why isn't the first implication "good enough"? (Because we do not know yet that a scale drawing produced by the ratio method would be the same scale drawing produced by the parallel method—the first implication does help us conclude that.)

This theorem is easier to prove than the previous one. In fact, we can use the previous theorem to quickly prove this one!

RATIO \Rightarrow PARALLEL THEOREM: Given a line segment \overline{AB} and point O not on the line \overline{AB} , construct a scale drawing $\overline{A'B'}$ of \overline{AB} with scale factor r > 0 using the ratio method (Find $A' = D_{0,r}(A)$ and $B' = D_{0,r}(B)$, and draw $\overline{A'B'}$). Then B' is the same as the point found using the parallel method. **PROOF:** Since both the ratio method and the parallel method start with the same first step of setting $A' = D_{Q,r}(A)$, the

only difference between the two methods is in how the second point is found. If we use the parallel method, we construct the line ℓ parallel to \overline{AB} that passes through A' and label the point where ℓ intersects \overline{OB} by C. Then B' is the same as the point found using the parallel method if we can show that C = B'.



By the parallel \Rightarrow ratio theorem, we know that $C = D_{0,r}(B)$, i.e., that C is the point on ray \overline{OB} such that $OC = r \cdot OB$. But B' is also the point on ray \overrightarrow{OB} such that $OB' = r \cdot OB$. Hence, they must be the same point.



Lesson 4: Date:







Discussion (8 minutes)



Provide students with time to read and make sense of the theorem. Students should be able to state that a line segment that splits two sides of a triangle is called a side splitter. If the sides of a triangle are split proportionally, then the line segment that split the sides must be parallel to the third side of the triangle. Conversely, if a segment that intersects two sides of a triangle is parallel to the third side of a triangle, then that segment is a side splitter.

Ask students to rephrase the statement of the theorem for a triangle OA'B' and a segment AB (i.e., the terminology used in the theorems above). It should look like this:



- Ask students to relate the restatement of the triangle side splitter theorem to the two theorems above. In order for students to do this, they will need to translate the statement into one about dilations. Begin with the implication that AB splits the sides proportionally.
- What does $\frac{OA'}{OA} = \frac{OB'}{OB}$ mean in terms of dilations?
 - This means that there is a dilation with scale factor $r = \frac{OA'}{OA}$ such that $D_{O,r}(A) = A'$ and $D_{O,r}(B) = B'$.
- Which method (parallel or ratio) does the statement "AB splits the sides proportionally" correspond to?
 - The ratio method
- What does the ratio \Rightarrow parallel theorem imply about B'?
 - This implies that B' can be found by constructing a line ℓ parallel to \overline{AB} through A' and intersecting that line with \overline{OB} .
- Since $\overline{AB} \parallel \ell$, what does that imply about $\overline{A'B'}$ and \overline{AB} ?
 - The two segments are also parallel as in the triangle side splitter theorem.



Lesson 4: Date: Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method 10/28/14







Now, suppose that A'B' || AB as in the picture below. Which method (parallel or ratio) does this statement correspond to?



- This statement corresponds to the parallel method because in the parallel method, only the endpoint A of line segment AB is dilated from center O by scale factor r to get point A'. To draw $\overline{A'B'}$, a line is drawn through A' that is parallel to \overline{AB} , and B' is the intersection of that line and \overline{OB} .
- What does the parallel \Rightarrow ratio theorem imply about the point B'?
 - This implies that $D_{0,r}(B) = B'$, i.e., $OB' = r \cdot OB$.
- What does $OB' = r \cdot OB$ and $OA' = r \cdot OA$ imply about \overline{AB} ?
 - \overline{AB} splits the sides of triangle $\triangle OA'B$.

Closing (3 minutes)

Ask students to summarize the main points of the lesson. Students may respond in writing, to a partner or the whole class.

- **THE TRIANGLE SIDE SPLITTER THEOREM**: A line segment splits two sides of a triangle proportionally if and only if it is parallel to the third side.
- Prior to this lesson we have used the ratio method and the parallel method separately to produce a scale drawing. The triangle side splitter theorem is a way of saying that we can use either method because both will produce the same scale drawing.

Consider asking students to compare and contrast the two methods in their own words as a way of explaining how the triangle side splitter theorem captures the mathematics of *why* each method produces the same scale drawing.

	Lesson Summary
	THE TRIANGLE SIDE SPLITTER THEOREM: A line segment splits two sides of a triangle proportionally if and only if it is parallel to the third side.

Exit Ticket (5 minutes)









Lesson 4: Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method

Exit Ticket

In the diagram, $\overline{XY} \parallel \overline{AC}$. Use the diagram to answer the following:

1. If BX = 4, BA = 5, and BY = 6, what is BC?



Not drawn to scale

2. If BX = 9, BA = 15, and BY = 15, what is YC?









Exit Ticket Sample Solutions



Problem Set Sample Solutions





Lesson 4: Date:







Lesson 4: Date: Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method 10/28/14





GEOMETRY





Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method 10/28/14









GEOMETRY





Comparing the Ratio Method with the Parallel Method 10/28/14



